

A call for *global* knowledge-creation: Learning from community based rehabilitation

Bente van Oort & Dr. Djenana Jalovcic

Community based rehabilitation (CBR) is a community development strategy that aims at improving the lives of persons with disabilities within their community, by working with persons with disabilities, local groups, and institutions. CBR was initially launched by WHO as a strategy to increase access to rehabilitation services for persons with disabilities in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)¹. Over the last 40 years CBR has been implemented in over 90 countries.

A growing body of research has established that, despite its issues, CBR is a feasible and efficient way of providing services and assistance to persons with disabilities.² However, CBR is still considered “data rich and evidence poor”.³ High income countries (HICs) seem to rarely use the CBR approach for rehabilitation practices, relying mostly on highly specialized, medical services.⁴ Reasons for this may be found in a variety of factors. Firstly, different knowledge systems are used; while in HICs the medical model of disability formed the basis of rehabilitation, LMICs have adapted the social model of disability from the start.⁵ Interrelated are dominant views and values in HICs that favour certain types of knowledge, data, and evidence in English, which do not always match with the existing literature on CBR. Secondly, differences in health infrastructure, workforce, access to care and finances may have created a different focus in the rehabilitation practices. Thirdly, HICs might use aspects of CBR, but do not call it CBR.⁶ As CBR was developed for LMICs, HICs – possibly due to issues related to colonialism and western supremacy – may not feel compelled to use it.⁷ Lastly, while CBR has been the mainstay of the WHO rehabilitation approach since 1978 including the WHO global

¹ International Labour Organisation, United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation, United Nations Children’s and the World Health Organisation. (2002). *Joint Position Paper Community Based Rehabilitation (CBR): With and for people with disabilities*.

² Lightfoot, E. (2004). Community-based rehabilitation — a rapidly growing method for supporting people with disabilities. *International Social Work*, 47(4), 455- 468.

Finnstam, J., Grimby, G., Nelson, G., & Rashid, S. (1988). Evaluation of community-based rehabilitation in Punjab, Pakistan: I: Use of the WHO manual, “training disabled people in the community”. *International Disability Studies*, 10(2), 54-58.

Lagerkvist, B. (1992). Rehabilitation in practice. Community-based rehabilitation – outcome for the disabled in the Philippines and Zimbabwe. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 14(1), 44-50.

Miles, S. (1996). Engaging with the Disability Rights Movement: The experience of community-based rehabilitation in southern Africa. *Disability & Society*, 11(4), 501-517

³ Kusuwo, P., Myezwa, H., Pilusa, S., & M’kumbuzi, V. (2017). A systematic review to identify system-related elements that can be used to evaluate community-based rehabilitation (CBR) programmes. *European Journal of Physiotherapy*, 19(sup1), 41-46.

⁴ Finkenflügel, H. (2004). *Empowered to Differ*. Amsterdam: Free University.

⁵ Stucki, G., Cieza, A., & Melvin, J. (2007). The international classification of functioning, disability and health: A unifying model for the conceptual description of the rehabilitation strategy. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine*, 39(4), 279-285.

⁶ Aitken, C., & Walker, J. (1987). Care of disabled people in the community. *International Disability Studies*, 9(2), 55-59.

Gregory, R. J. (2001). Community based service delivery: power and pathology: or, social rehabilitation is still a ‘Commie plot’. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 23(1), 22-25.

Kendall, E., Muenchberger, H., & Catalano, T. (2009). The move towards community-based rehabilitation in industrialized countries: Are we equipped for the challenge? *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 31(26), 2164-2173.

⁷ Persson, C. (2014). *Implementing community based Re/habilitation in Uganda and Sweden: A comparative approach* (Doctoral dissertation, Mid Sweden University).

disability action plan 2014–2021⁸, CBR is notably absent from the recent Rehabilitation 2030 documents.

The gap between HICs and LMICs does not only hinder the further development of CBR research and practice, as there are little case studies in HICs⁹, but also hinders a *global* approach to knowledge-creation in rehabilitation.¹⁰ As long as HICs and LMICs find themselves in the need of mutually exclusive approaches to rehabilitation, knowledge exchange is slowed down. Looking back and around may help to illuminate common principles and challenges, allow for mutual learning and understanding, and ultimately improve the lives of the one billion persons with disabilities globally. A holistic care approach, participation of persons with disabilities, inclusion of family and friends in the rehabilitation process, home-and community-based services and changing society's attitude towards disability, are just a few of the current challenges posed to rehabilitation worldwide that could benefit from collaboration and integration of knowledge between HICs and LMICs.

To enable co-learning, knowledge exchange and knowledge co-creation between LMICs and HICs to improve rehabilitation services for and with persons with disabilities and their families, we need to bridge the existing gaps, overcome biases and prejudices, remove barriers, strengthen partnerships, and seek learning opportunities. Learning from CBR might be a good starting point.

Words: 487

About the authors

Bente van Oort is research master student in Global Health at the Athena Institute at the Vrije Universiteit in Amsterdam (the Netherlands). Dr. Djenana Jalovic is an Associate Professor at Western Norway University of Applied Sciences and Education Professional Adviser at World Physiotherapy. She is one of the founding members of Women in Global Health Canada chapter and a member of the CAGH Canadian Women in Global Health Leadership Steering Committee. They met as part of the CAGH MentorNet program, which connects Students and Young Professionals (SYPs) interested in global health with experts in the field for discussion and coaching over a period of 10-12 months.

Notes

⁸ World Health Organization. (2015). *WHO global disability action plan 2014-2021: Better health for all people with disability*. World Health Organization.

⁹ Persson, C. (2014). *Implementing community based Re/habilitation in Uganda and Sweden: A comparative approach* (Doctoral dissertation, Mid Sweden University).

¹⁰ Mitchell, R. (1999). Community-based rehabilitation: the generalized model. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 21, 522-528.

Hartley, S. (2001). Commentary on 'community based service delivery in rehabilitation: the promise and the paradox' by Kendall, Buys and Learner. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 23(1), 26-29.